```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                       FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA
 2
 3
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
               Plaintiff,
 4
 5
         VS.
                                 CASE NO. 3:19-cr-00003-RRB-MMS
 6
   TRISTAN GRANT,
 7
               Defendant.
 8
 9
           TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
      BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW M. SCOBLE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
                          Tuesday - July 13, 2021
10
                         12:08 p.m. - 12:46 p.m.
11
                             Anchorage, Alaska
12
   FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
13
         Office of the United States Attorney
         BY: KAYLA HAYES DOYLE
14
         BY: JENNIFER LOWE IVERS
         222 West 7th Avenue, RM 253, #9
15
         Anchorage, Alaska 99513
         907-250-7710
16
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
         Law Offices of James Alan Wendt
17
         BY: JAMES A. WENDT
18
         425 G Street, Suite 610
         Anchorage, Alaska 99501
19
         907-258-9100
20
    Clerk in Attendance: Irma Hernandez
21
                             STACY M. BALDWIN
22
                       Realtime Certified Reporter
23
                     Federal Official Court Reporter
                          222 West 7th Avenue, #4
                         Anchorage, Alaska 99513
24
              Transcript Produced from the Digital Recording
25
```

(Call to Order of the Court at 12:08 p.m.)

2.1

DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. His Honor, the Court, the United States District Court for the District of Alaska is now in session, the Honorable Matthew M. Scoble presiding.

Please be seated. Your Honor, we're on record in Case
No. 3:19-cr-00003-RRB-MMS, United States of America versus
Tristan Grant.

Counsel, please identify yourselves for the record.

MS. IVERS: Jennifer Ivers for the United States.

MS. DOYLE: Kayla Doyle for the United States.

MR. WENDT: James Wendt for Mr. Grant.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Ms. Ivers, Ms. Doyle. Good afternoon to you, Mr. Wendt, and good afternoon to you, Mr. Grant.

Okay. We're on today for a status/motion hearing on the temporary restraining order. Let's see, the parties filed status reports respectively at Docket 255 and 256.

In the government's status report at 255 they indicate that at some considerable effort counsel for Ms. Carter has been secured. So she is now represented. And the government indicates that they're going to be ready to proceed for further hearing on July 13th, 21st, or 22nd to address the need for a long-term protective order.

In their status report at 256, the defense indicates a number of issues with the conditions of Mr. Grant's confinement

and also raises -- expresses a desire to put off the hearing on the long-term restraining order to allow sufficient time for some witnesses to be subpoenaed. The defense also notes that there's a bright note in that it appears that some issues regarding discovery are being resolved.

So anything to add on the status, Ms. Ivers, Ms. Doyle?

MS. IVERS: Your Honor, we have Special Agent Goeden and TFO Torres here ready to testify. And I would just ask that we take the opportunity to hear their testimony today, because they're going out of town after this. And so we're ready to go with respect to those witnesses and perhaps if the defense has additional witnesses he wishes to call, we can address that on Friday.

THE COURT: Sure. We only have an hour today, but I'd be happy to take up what we can.

MS. IVERS: I think we can get through it.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me check in with the defense, Mr. Wendt.

MR. WENDT: Regarding other issues -- regarding the phone calls that was one thing in the status report.

THE COURT: Right. Mr. Grant's lack of access to phone calls. Is that -- when you say "phone calls," what do you mean?

MR. WENDT: The phone calls that have been tapped and

provided to the government that I have not received.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.1

MR. WENDT: The government informs me that they are still in discovery and still being processed and they have indicated that they will try to get them to me before the end of the day Thursday.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WENDT: It is problematic. My understanding is we have witnesses here who will be testifying about the contents of the phone calls, and they're phone calls that I haven't had the opportunity to listen to.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's -- let me talk to the government about that. Are either of your witnesses going to be talking about these phone calls?

MS. IVERS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, it certainly seems reasonable that Mr. Wendt would be able to review them prior to the witnesses' testimony.

MS. IVERS: I recognize that and that's why -- I mean we're kind of in a tricky spot, because they're in the sort of discovery limbo in our office and we need to get these witnesses' testimony today. So that's why I proposed -- I mean, I'm not really sure how Mr. Wendt would use those calls, if it's his client's statements. Although, to be fair we're assuming that all the evidence was (indiscernible).

I'm sorry, say that again. 1 THE COURT: 2 MS. IVERS: I'm assuming that the evidence rules, 3 we're not going to be strictly applying the evidence rules for purposes of this hearing? 4 5 And there aren't, but, you know, there's THE COURT: still got to be some indicia of reliability and rule of 6 7 completeness and all that. MS. IVERS: Yeah, I understand what you're saying. 8 9 That's why -- that's the best compromise I could come up with, was to try to get those -- so that he could bring up any issues 10 11 he had. When do your witnesses go out of town? 12 THE COURT: 13 MS. IVERS: Tomorrow. For how long? 14 THE COURT: MS. IVERS: Until the 20th. (Indiscernible.) 15 THE COURT: Well, I certainly appreciate -- I 16 appreciate the government trying to move this along. And 17 obviously you can't control your witnesses' schedule. 18 I also understand Mr. Wendt's desire to be able to review these phone 19 20 calls prior to the testimony of witnesses who will be

So, Mr. Wendt, if you want to move to continue the evidentiary hearing until after the government's witnesses return, we can reschedule it to that point. I would --

discussing these very phone calls. I think that's a reasonable

2.1

22

23

24

25

request.

```
We would like to do that.
 1
             MR. WENDT:
 2
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 3
             MR. WENDT: But there is one other issue, Judge.
    could -- just a general issue. My client's still -- he's had
 4
 5
    one shower now.
 6
             THE COURT: And I do want to take up his terms -- the
 7
    conditions of his confinement.
 8
                         We got to get some loosening here.
             MR. WENDT:
 9
             THE COURT:
                          I appreciate that. And I do want to talk
    about the conditions of his confinement, but let's just chop
10
11
    the tree in front of us.
12
             MR. WENDT: Yes.
                                Thank you.
                         Okay. So as far as a date for a
13
             THE COURT:
    continuance, I am barely available on the 22nd and I'm not
14
15
    available starting on the 23rd for the rest of July. So could
    we try to get in on the 21st?
16
                         I'm available on the 21st.
17
             MR. WENDT:
             THE COURT:
18
                         Okay.
                         I have a trial the following week.
19
             MS. IVERS:
                                                              So I
20
    have quite a bit of witness prep scheduled that day.
2.1
             I could do -- you are available that day?
22
             MS. DOYLE: I am. Afternoon, I'm available on the
23
    21st.
24
             THE COURT: On the 21st?
25
             MS. DOYLE: And I can do that.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: All right. Let me just pull up my
 2
    calendar.
 3
             If we do come back on the 21st, Ms. Ivers, how many
    witnesses do you expect for the government -- I should say, how
 4
 5
    much time do you expect for the government's case?
 6
             MS. IVERS: Less than an hour.
 7
             THE COURT: Less than an hour. And, Mr. Wendt, how
    many witnesses would you expect and how much time would you
 8
 9
    expect to need?
                         Is that going to be the sole date for the
10
             MR. WENDT:
    long-term order, or we will be reconvening after that?
11
12
             THE COURT: Well, ideally we get it all done on that
    day, if we can. If we can't, then we'll come back for another
13
14
    day.
                         I would think it would take all afternoon.
15
             MR. WENDT:
             THE COURT: You're thinking all afternoon?
16
17
                         Yeah.
             MR. WENDT:
18
             THE COURT:
                         If we could get a two-hour, three-hour
19
    block.
             DEPUTY CLERK: (Indiscernible.)
20
2.1
             THE COURT: Okay.
             DEPUTY CLERK: You only have 9:00 to 11:00.
22
23
             THE COURT: On the --
24
             DEPUTY CLERK: On the 21st.
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

MS. DOYLE: Your Honor, I have a training for that. I can skip the training and do 9:00 to 11:00.

THE COURT: Are you sure?

2.1

MS. DOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. That's very helpful. I would say 9:00 to 11:00, that would be a hard stop at 11:00, but we will make as much progress as we can, July 21st, 9:00 to 11:00 for a continued evidentiary hearing. And I will make the finding that there's good cause to continue the temporary restraining order until that date.

All right. Anything else to take up on the issue of the temporary/permanent restraining order from the government?

MS. IVERS: Yes, Your Honor. Ms. Carter is represented today with counsel. The government's initial request was that the temporary restraining order apply to Ms. Carter, in addition to Mr. Grant. So the government is now asking that this Court order Ms. Carter not to contact J.S. or her family. And then she does have an attorney and so if this Court issues a long-term protective order, I think it would be appropriate for the government to simply notify Ms. Carter's attorney that that was ordered and then she could inform her client so that she doesn't have to come back to the hearing next week.

THE COURT: That sounds reasonable to me.

Let's see, Ms. Weidner-Tafs, good afternoon.

```
MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Go ahead and step to the microphone.
 3
             MS. WEIDNER-TAFS:
                                 I have a CJA23 financial affidavit
 4
    filled out by Ms. Carter.
 5
             THE COURT: And we need to have you formally
    appointed?
 6
 7
             MS. WEIDNER-TAFS:
                                Yes.
             THE COURT: All right. Let's take care of that before
 8
 9
    we do anything else.
             MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: All right. May I approach?
10
11
             THE COURT: Yes, please do.
12
             Ms. Carter, I do find that you are eligible for the
    appointment of counsel under the Criminal Justice Act and I
13
    will appoint Cristina Weidner-Tafs to represent you.
14
             MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: And if that could be effective as
15
    of last Friday, Your Honor, the --
17
             THE COURT: All right.
             MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: -- the 9th, I believe it was.
18
                         I will make that nunc pro tunc to July
19
             THE COURT:
    9th.
20
21
             MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
             Ms. Carter was subpoenaed here today. I believe that
23
    was just an effort to get her here before the Court, but I
24
    understand that she's not going to be asked to testify at the
25
    evidentiary hearing, and we would object -- I would advise her
```

not to testify. And so I don't know -- I just want that on the record in case there's any further indication that they might want to be subpoening her to the next hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. Was the subpoena from the government?

MS. IVERS: Yes.

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. And do you want Ms. Carter to be present at the next hearing?

MS. IVERS: Now that she has counsel, if this Court orders her not to contact J.S. and her family today, I don't see any reason to have her present at any future hearings.

THE COURT: All right. So no need to address the subpoena issue at this time. She did appear today. I'll note for the record that Ms. Carter is present in the gallery. So she has complied with the subpoena that was issued for today's purposes.

Go ahead, Ms. Weidner-Tafs.

MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: So she will be released from the subpoena. And then I was going to indicate that I will accept service, if there is any long-term protective restraining order on her behalf.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: And that's all.

THE COURT: As far as the temporary restraining order ordering her not to have any contact with minor victim

identified as J.S., do you have any objection to her being 1 2 subject to that? 3 MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: There's no objection without -- we don't concede that there's grounds for it, but she doesn't have 4 5 a problem not contacting J.S. or her family --THE COURT: Understood. 6 7 MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: -- in the interim until there's a 8 long-term order. 9 THE COURT: Understood. All right. Thank you, Ms. Weidner-Tafs. 10 11 And, ma'am, if you don't mind, what I'll do is have your client just identify herself for the record and then I'll 12 make that order. 13 14 MS. WEIDNER-TAFS: Okay. THE COURT: Ma'am, Ms. Carter, if you could just step 15 to the microphone. And go ahead and state your full name for 16 the record, please. 17 Julissa Marie Carter. 18 THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Okay. So, ma'am, I'm going to order you 19 20 not to have any contact with a minor victim identified by the 21 initials J, like Juliette, letter S, like Sierra. Do you know 22 who that person is? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Okay. You may not have any contact with her, and that's either direct or indirect contact. Direct

contact would be talking to somebody face-to-face or maybe calling them on the phone. Indirect contact might mean posting something on their Facebook page or having a third-party contact them on your behalf. None of those things are acceptable. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

2.1

THE COURT: So even if by some chance, I'm not saying this would be happen, but hypothetically, if she were to call you, you need to hang up. No contact means no contact. Do you understand?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. That's a -- that is a temporary restraining order that will be in effect until the 21st of this month. And we'll take up the question of whether or not that will become a permanent restraining order. If it does become a permanent restraining order, your attorney will notify you of that. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. You can go ahead and be seated.

MS. IVERS: And, Judge, does that also apply to -- the allegations are that Ms. Carter was also contacting J.S.'s mother trying to reach J.S. Can we also make that

```
(indiscernible.)
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Sure. I understood your request just to
 3
    apply to J.S.
             Ms. Carter, do you know who J.S.'s mother is? Go
 4
 5
    ahead and step up to the microphone, ma'am.
 6
             MS. WEIDNER-TAFS:
                                Just whether you know who she is.
 7
             THE COURT: Yeah. Just yes or no.
 8
             THE WITNESS: No, sir.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. You also may not have any contact
    with J.S.'s mother, and it's the same thing I just explained,
10
11
    neither direct or indirect, do you understand?
12
             THE WITNESS: Okay.
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else on this
13
    from the government, Ms. Ivers?
14
15
             MS. IVERS: No.
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Carter.
16
    you, Ms. Ivers.
17
18
             Okay --
             MR. WENDT: Your Honor, could I bring up an issue with
19
    my client's temporary restraining order?
20
2.1
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
22
             MR. WENDT:
                         Is this the appropriate time to do so?
23
             THE COURT:
                         I don't know. Hang on just one second.
24
    Anything else from the government on the temporary restraining
25
    order issue?
```

MS. IVERS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Go ahead, Mr. Wendt.

2.1

MR. WENDT: Respectfully, Your Honor, we object to the extension of the temporary restraining order.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WENDT: When I read the status report issued by the government, the sole reason for extending the temporary restraining order against my client was the difficulty with getting Ms. Carter in, getting her represented and getting her here to testify.

As I stated at the last hearing and I've stated to opposing counsel and anyone who would listen many times, there's no way that this person was going to testify. No attorney would allow or would do anything they could to keep their client from testifying, given the -- given the allegations. I'm looking at Docket 246, specifically in paragraphs 14 and 15, and there may be others here as well, against this person.

I would suggest that the reason given to the Court for extending my client's temporary restraining order was unsubstantial, let's just say. I don't want to be rude. But they always knew that she's not going to testify and they just used her as an excuse to extend the temporary restraining order. So I would ask the Court to lift the temporary

restraining order at this time completely.

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Your objection is noted. I would respectfully disagree. Based on the record before this Court, it seems as though the Court to me -- not the Court -- the government has shown diligence in preparing for this hearing. They're endeavoring to provide discovery to the defense. They have their two witnesses here ready to testify. I don't have any reason to think that their representations about Ms. Carter were made in bad faith as you're suggesting.

In order for me to find good cause I have to find that the government has been diligent and not negligent and I think the record amply supports that they have been diligent in their representations. I appreciate you advocating on behalf of your client and your objection is noted, but my order continuing the temporary restraining order to the 21st of July stands, and we'll take up that issue again on the 21st of July.

MR. WENDT: And if we could retouch the phone calls again. I just want to make it clear. I hope there's no misunderstanding. We have asked for my client's phone calls from the date of trial to present. All the phone calls. Not just the phone calls that were listed in the affidavit.

And the reason for that is these phone calls in the affidavit, the rule of completeness would require us to look at them in reference to all the other phone calls my client may

have had with these individuals in the affidavit. So we have asked for all the phone calls. It should not be a difficult thing to do to just copy the phone calls from the last day of trial, which was probably a couple months ago now up to present and just give them to me. So I just want that known. We're not looking for just two or three phone calls. We're looking for all the phone calls.

2.1

THE COURT: All right. I take your point.

Ms. Ivers, are you aware, is the plan to turn over all the phone calls from the date of trial to present, or what's the government's plan on this?

MS. IVERS: Law enforcement has not reviewed every single phone call that Mr. Grant has made from the day of trial to present. They pulled a two-week section to determine if Mr. Grant was trying to contact J.S., and that's reflected in the FBI 302 that was produced to defense counsel. I don't see any reason why we should give him --

I mean, Mr. Grant knows who he has been calling and what he has been calling about. I don't think we need to provide him copies of every single call he's made. That seems well outside the scope of this hearing and I don't know of any other basis to provide those, other than for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: Well, I think Mr. Wendt's argument is, and Mr. Wendt, correct me if I'm wrong, but that all the calls are

```
needed to put everything in context. And without the context
 1
 2
    of another phone call one phone call might give the wrong
 3
    impression of what was -- he was trying to communicate, or
    something like that?
 4
 5
                          It's not only context and completeness.
             MR. WENDT:
    It's potential Brady material, Your Honor.
 6
 7
             MS. IVERS: Brady with respect to what? Our Brady
 8
    obligations are over, the trial happened.
 9
                          Well, no, that's not true.
             MR. WENDT:
                                                      Brady
    obligation continues until sentencing.
10
11
             MS. IVERS:
                          Okay. So what Brady -- I mean -- this --
12
                          So, I assume these calls were all on the
             THE COURT:
13
    Securus system?
                          FBI hasn't pulled every single call that
14
             MS. IVERS:
15
    Mr. Grant has made.
                          I think that's well outside the scope of
    our obligation to produce every single call that Mr. Grant has
16
    made since April.
17
18
                          All right.
                                     Is it your plan to produce
             THE COURT:
    every call that the FBI has?
19
20
             MS. IVERS:
                         Yes.
2.1
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
22
             MS. IVERS:
                          They pulled a two-week period to review.
23
    They don't review every single call that Mr. Grant makes.
24
    mean, there's so many.
25
             THE COURT: Sure. Well, I -- I can see going about
```

this two ways. Since the calls -- all of the calls presumably are still on this Securus system unless they've just been aged out and have been purged from the system, as Securus will do -- hear me out -- and then perhaps the shortest route to resolving this would be just to have those calls dumped by Securus and provide Mr. Wendt with everything. Just throwing that out there.

In the alternative, Mr. Wendt, once you get the calls that the government is planning to turn over with this two-week sample, get them on Thursday. If that satisfies your discovery needs then, then we're done. If it doesn't, please meet and confer with the government to specify what it is you need and why. And if they are not inclined to turn that over, I know you know how to make the appropriate discovery motion to the Court.

MR. WENDT: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right.

2.1

MR. WENDT: And are we going to -- my client is raising his hand, but are we going to go and discuss the circumstances under which he's being held now?

THE COURT: We are.

MR. WENDT: Okay. We need to talk about that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Grant, is there something you want to tell me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. She just said that I know what

phone calls I made and what conversations I had. First, this is an evidentiary hearing, right, for evidence, right?

2.1

THE COURT: We're not taking evidence right now.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, that's why -- I don't want every phone call that I ever made. I only made a few phone calls to these two individuals.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Grant, you might want to talk to your lawyer before you --

THE DEFENDANT: I know what I'm saying, and I'm asking for those specific phone calls. They're talking about a two-week period that they picked a few phone calls out of. The specific phone calls that I'm asking for is showing whatever it is I'm saying I did or didn't do. So I'm asking specifically for those, there's not no -- everybody's trying to make it into a difficult situation. It's not. It's not that hard. If they can use my phone calls against me, why can't I use my phone calls for me?

THE COURT: Well, there is a rule of evidence that is directly on point as far as that goes.

But if there is something specific that you want the best thing to do is tell your lawyer and it's much, much easier -- if your lawyer can go to the government with the specific request, like we want the call from this date, that's much, much easier than just asking for everything. So talk to your lawyer, let him know what the dates are, he'll ask the

government and we can maybe sort this out.

2.1

THE DEFENDANT: I've been saying this. I said this on the 24th when we came in, I've been given -- and I already knew -- and I just I believe -- I don't know if you got the letter yet, but I believe I wrote you a letter and let you know. I already knew this was going -- this is not -- no secret. I knew this was going to happen. They don't -- of course, they're going to play these type of games.

They know which -- this man listen to phone calls -- all my phone calls. This is not no secret. But we're not here for that. But I know for a fact, they don't want to give up those specific phone calls, because they know what's on phone calls.

And I -- and you know -- I done gave my lawyer this before. I had plenty of copies of every number -- the number that I specifically wanted, date, time and it's not -- it's not hard.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wendt, you have

Mr. Grant's specific requests for the particular calls he

wants?

MR. WENDT: I believe I do.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: Just in case, to make it easier for

them, that makes it even easier. 1 2 MR. WENDT: All right. 3 THE COURT: So, Mr. Wendt, you can make a tailored request to the government for the specific calls and we can 4 5 move forward on this issue. MR. WENDT: I will. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good. All right. Regarding Mr. Grant's conditions of 8 9 confinement, you wanted to talk to me about that? MR. WENDT: Yes, Judge. The one -- first and 10 11 foremost, the man needs to take a shower. 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: All right. He's still being denied access 13 14 to the shower? 15 MR. WENDT: He was able to get one shower because 16 there was a new CO. 17 THE COURT: Right, I saw that, and that was the last 18 time --MR. WENDT: That's the only time and the only shower 19 20 he's had. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. What else? 22 MR. WENDT: He had like -- I mean, we're talking about 23 phone calls here, when I get the phone calls I'd like perhaps 24 to give them to him and he can listen to them. In other words, he'd like to be able to go through discovery. He'd like to be

able to go to the law library. He'd like to be able to speak to his family members. I've spoken with his aunt, who I'm sure I could get her to testify and she's more than willing to do so. She's in Virginia; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.1

MR. WENDT: So he would like to have the phone loosened up. Isn't it enough to just give an order that he can't contact J. --

THE DEFENDANT: -- my mail.

MR. WENDT: Yes, he's not getting mail either. My understanding is the mail was to be reviewed.

THE DEFENDANT: Not restricted.

THE COURT: Not restricted. It was to be reviewed, any ingoing or outgoing mail. I think that's in the order. They're interpreting that, and I got their -- Department of Corrections saying no mail, you know.

THE DEFENDANT: Confiscates all my mail. Legal mail --

MR. WENDT: All right. We got that.

So they can still go through his mail and read it if they want to, you know, scan through it and whatever they want to, but he should be able to send out letters, even though they're going to be reviewed. He should be able to receive letters, even though they're going to be reviewed.

He should be able to speak to his family. He -- I

Case 3:19-cr-00003-RRB-MMS Document 453 Filed 02/01/23 Page 22 of 30

know the Court has voiced a concern that he could borrow somebody else's number, identification number and make a phone call. I would ask that the Court simply order that no contact with J.S. or J.S.'s mother, and no contact with people who are directed or suggested to contact those people in any way is sufficient. He'd be -- he'd be crazy to do that and yet there's a slight chance he could borrow somebody else's number and do that. But I don't think that's a reasonable --

THE COURT: Sir, I'm literally, for the record, I'm holding aloft a letter that I received from Mr. Grant. In the first three sentences of that letter he tells me that he's sending this under another inmate's identifying --

THE DEFENDANT: I had no choice.

MR. WENDT: Apparently, he had absolutely no choice.

THE DEFENDANT: They are not legal (indiscernible.)

THE COURT: -- unless someone put a gun to his head and told him to write that letter, he had a choice. And the way he exercised that choice tells the Court that he cannot be trusted not to do the same thing with phone calls -- with phone calls or letter writing.

I don't want to see this man's conditions be any stricter than they need to be to ensure the safety of everybody else in the community, particularly J.S. and her family, but I'm not the one driving this train, Mr. Grant is.

So -- but I do want to address the shower issue. I'm

2.1

```
going to order that Mr. Grant get -- what's the standard for
 1
 2
    inmate showers, is it twice a week, three times a week?
 3
             MR. WENDT: I think it's three times a week.
             THE DEFENDANT: No -- what? Who washes their tail
 4
 5
    three time --
 6
             MR. WENDT: Instead of arguing, is there a standard
 7
    that you're aware of?
 8
             THE DEFENDANT: Well, I'm in the hole, so every other
 9
    day.
             THE COURT: All right. I'm going to order that he
10
11
    gets a shower no less than three times a week. All right.
12
             THE DEFENDANT: What about law library?
                         So the -- I think the issue with the law
13
             THE COURT:
    library is access to computers when he's there, which makes it
14
    difficult to regulate who he communicates with.
15
             THE DEFENDANT: You can't communicate with nobody.
16
17
             MR. WENDT:
                         I don't think they can send out emails.
18
             THE DEFENDANT: They only can get Nexis and view the
    discovery.
19
             THE COURT: Specifically with regard to the protective
20
2.1
    order, does the government have a position on Mr. Grant's
22
    access to the law library?
23
             MS. IVERS: I don't know what he can and can't do in
24
    the law library. I'm just not familiar enough.
25
             THE COURT: Okav.
```

MS. IVERS: Your Honor probably knows better than I do.

2.1

THE COURT: A lot's changed since I was regularly going to the jail.

Let me do this, I'm going to -- I'm going to ask the marshals to check in with the jail and report back to me. As long as there's no way for him to send messages or emails or anything out, I not only have no problem ordering that he has access to the law library, it's important. It's his right to have access to the law library. If it will in any way compromise the restriction on his communications with the outside world then the restriction will stand. But I'll have the marshals check in with the jail. And, Deputy, if you could follow up with me and I will just craft the order appropriately.

All right. So showering, law library --

THE DEFENDANT: Can I at least receive mail?

THE COURT: So legal mail Mr. Grant should be getting.

THE DEFENDANT: They're not give me nothing.

THE COURT: All right. So I need to ensure that he's receiving his legal mail.

THE DEFENDANT: So my family just sent me some money from out of state and if I can't get mail then the money just sits there, and then if it sits there for a while, that's a bad check or money order.

THE COURT: Sure. It will expire.

2.1

THE DEFENDANT: And that was in 6/25/21. I don't know why I can't just receive the mail. I can understand sending it, but receiving it.

THE COURT: I am inclined to agree.

Ms. Ivers, is there any reason that Mr. Grant shouldn't be able to receive mail, particularly -- I mean, from anybody from the outside that wants to contact him?

MS. IVERS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'll also make the order that you can receive mail without any restrictions from the Court.

The jail obviously is going to have its own restrictions, but I'm not going to limit mail that's coming in to you. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: Just one more thing.

THE COURT: Yeah.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm trying to -- I mean, I know you all got to have these court dates and hearings and all this other stuff, but these are allegations. They're not -- these are not facts and this just, me personally, I feel like I'm being punished for something I haven't even been proven if I did or didn't do, because this is a form -- being inside -- in the (indiscernible) in the hole by yourself, can't communicate with the outside world, and still trying to prepare myself for

a sentencing, and I can't -- I can't look at anything. I can't get any -- I don't -- and then asking for a continuance. Well, I have to ask for a continuance, because I'm not getting what I need for the Court date that I want. I want to be able to present to say, listen, this is what -- I didn't do this. This is the evidence right here. I don't -- I don't understand this and that. It's just -- is it right?

2.1

THE COURT: I appreciate that you're in a bad situation, sir. I really do. And as I said a few minutes ago, I don't want to see your conditions of confinement be any stricter than they have to be. And there is a reason right now that the restraining order is a temporary restraining order. There is some indication before the Court that indicates that perhaps -- well, that definitely, your communication with certain individuals should be restricted temporarily. Okay. But nothing is set in stone here. And we're going to have an evidentiary hearing to establish whether or not that should or shouldn't be a permanent order. And I promise you, I've not made up my mind on that.

THE DEFENDANT: I know. Just a prime example, now what if I show the Court that -- that what they is presenting is a bunch -- was --

THE COURT: Was wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: What do I get from that? I done went through the punishment already.

THE COURT: I appreciate that, sir. It's no different than if you sit in jail for a year waiting for a jail and the jury finds you not guilty. There's no way to give you that year back, and for that I'm truly sorry.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

2.1

THE COURT: We don't have a perfect system. Okay. I mean it when I say it, my mind is not made up on a permanent restraining order. If you show me that everything is wrong --

THE DEFENDANT: I most definitely can show you that.

THE COURT: We'll wait and see on that. If you and your lawyer show me that this temporary restraining order should not be a permanent one, I will not hesitate to order that it be lifted. Okay.

All right. I think -- I'll get that order drafted and get it out to get Mr. Grant a shower, access to law library, and at least get him receiving incoming mail. I think that covers it.

Oh, I was handed a note that we need to change the hearing time that I said earlier. It's still going to be on the 21st, but it will be 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. Does that still work for everybody?

MS. DOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. WENDT: It would. And if I may, my understanding is the marshals are going to check on the ability to communicate via computer from the law library?

```
THE COURT: We're going to make sure that Mr. Grant
 1
 2
    getting access to the law library won't compromise his
 3
    restrictions on his communication.
             MR. WENDT: And if possible to do so, the Court will
 4
    order that he has access?
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Exactly right.
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: And everything else you said.
 8
             THE COURT: And everything else I said.
 9
             THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I just got to make sure.
    Because last time I told them to go back and tell them I did --
10
11
    they did, but the jail they only listen to Court orders.
12
             THE COURT: I will issue an order. I will issue an
    order.
13
             THE DEFENDANT:
14
                             Yeah.
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else from the
15
    government?
16
17
             MS. IVERS: No, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the
    defense?
19
20
             MR. WENDT: Not today.
2.1
                         Okay. Mr. Grant, any questions, comments,
             THE COURT:
22
    or concerns?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: Naw, that was it.
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much for
24
    your hard work on this.
```

We are in recess. DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. This matter is adjourned. This Court now stands in recess until 2:00 p.m. (Whereupon, the Court adjourned at 12:46 p.m.) --00000--CERTIFICATE I, Stacy M. Baldwin, Federal Official Court Reporter in and for the United States District Court of the District of Alaska, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript from the digital recording in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. Dated January 31, 2023. /s/ Stacy M. Baldwin STACY M. BALDWIN, RCR, RMR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER